National Housing Trust Fund Environmental Review and Funding Requirements

National Housing Trust Fund grants come with their own environmental review requirements that differ slightly from the Part 58 requirements applicable to HOME. NHTF funds prohibit some actions that could be accomplished with permitting and other protective measures under the HOME requirements. The following are the environmental provisions associated with the NHTF environmental review, specific restrictions that can eliminate a project from considering this funding source and information that could speed up review if provided to the environmental reviewer at the start of the review.

SITE CONTAMINATION

Restriction: Project site must be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property. Sites within 0.25 mile of a Superfund or CERCLIS site, or other contaminated site reported to Federal, State or local authorities, cannot receive NHTF funds unless the related government agency (e.g. US EPA, OEPA, BUSTR) provides a statement in writing that the listed site will not affect the health and safety of occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.

Helpful Submittal Items: The developer should provide a phase I environmental site assessment compliant with ASTM E1527-13 and including a vapor encroachment screen compliant with ASTM E2600-10. Viability of the ESA (i.e., whether it is recent enough to be valid) is determined based on the date of a related real estate transaction such as property transfer or start of construction/rehabilitation; the ESA or update must be dated within 180 days of the transaction. An update for purposes of viability does not have to be a complete, new phase I ESA report; it could be provided in the form of a letter report referring to the previous phase I ESA and covering the items ASTM requires be updated. Refer the environmental consultant to Section 4.6 of ASTM E1527-13.

Inform the environmental consultant of the restriction on locating the project site within 0.25 mile of Superfund, CERCLIS and other database-listed contaminated sites such as leaking underground storage tank sites, and ask them for assistance in obtaining the written statements from the governing agency for any identified site.

If there are any recognized environmental conditions, including vapor encroachment conditions, these should be addressed as recommended by the environmental consultant. Phase II ESAs must be compliant with ASTM E1903-11. Any clean-up must address the requirement to protect the health and safety of occupants and permit the intended utilization of the property.

The prohibition on the presence of radioactive substances at the project site includes radon gas that could infiltrate into the structure from the geological formations beneath the project site. Because the presence of radon at unacceptable levels is a possibility throughout Ohio, per OHFA's
radon policy all new construction projects should include a radon mitigation system in their
construction plans; generally this includes a vapor collection system beneath the slab that vents to
one or more risers extending above the top of the building. All rehab projects will need either to
include plans for installing such a system or to test to see whether a system is needed. More
information is available in OHFA’s radon policy.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Restriction: No demolition of a National Register-listed or eligible building or a building contributing
to a National Register-listed historic district is permitted. Rehabilitation must meet Secretary of the
Interior standards.

Helpful Submittal Items: If there are existing buildings on the project site, an evaluation of whether
they are eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places or contribute to a listed
historic district is required. Project teams that can provide an expert opinion on this topic can speed
their review times. Approved part 1 and 2 historic tax credit applications are an excellent source of
this information.

NOISE

Restriction: No outdoor noise sensitive uses in areas with noise levels of 75 dB or higher on new
construction sites, and indoor noise must be attenuated to 45 dB for all new construction projects
with an exterior noise level of 65 dB or greater and for all rehabilitation projects.

Helpful Submittal Items: The project will be evaluated using the HUD procedures. Project teams
that can provide the following data can speed their review times: 1) Identify all roads within 1,000
feet of any project site boundary having four or more lanes and/or 10,000 ADT or greater, and
provide traffic data for points close to the project site. The data is available from ODOT for state
routes and interstates, and from local planning commissions and/or engineer’s offices for local
roads. 2) Identify all railroads within 3,000 feet of any project site boundary. The reviewer will take
care of collecting traffic data for railroads. 3) Be aware of airports that are near (within five miles of)
the project site; while the reviewer will collect information pertinent to the review it will help the
project team to be aware of risks.

AIRPORT HAZARDS

Restriction: No projects may be located within the clear zones or accident potential zones of
military airfields or the runway protection zones of civilian airports.

Helpful Submittal Items: If the project site is very close to an airport of any size, the site’s location
relative to military airfield clear zones and accident potential zones and civilian airport runway
protection zones will require evaluation. Projects cannot be located in these zones. Project teams
can assist in speeding the review by providing maps of these zones obtained from the airport or
from local planning or zoning officials.

COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT

Restriction: Same as Part 58 (HOME): Projects located within the designated coastal zone along
Lake Erie must be consistent with the state coastal zone management plan.
**Helpful Submittal Items:** The environmental reviewer will perform the coordination required to make this determination for any project located within the coastal zone. While it would be wise for the project team to be aware of their site’s status, no submittal is required on their part. Coastal zones are mapped by ODNR’s Office of Coastal Management.

**FLOODPLAINS**

**Restrictions:** The best, most recent available FEMA map/data, including ABFE, P-FIRM or FIRM, must be used to determine the location of the floodplain and the BFE.

No new construction critical actions (includes housing projects that have units designated for those with disabilities, due to their lesser ability to flee in an emergency) may be located in the 100-year floodplain or 500-year floodplain or a coastal high hazard area. Other actions in the 100-year floodplain must be avoided when practicable.

Non-critical actions (both new construction and rehabilitation) that have no practicable alternatives to locating in the 100-year floodplain must meet the following requirements: 1) Either elevate construction to the base flood elevation at a minimum, or flood-proof all structures to at least one foot above the base flood elevation; and 2) adhere to the NFIP standards including obtaining flood insurance. Non-critical new construction actions are not permitted in coastal high hazard areas (V Zones) unless the project involves reconstruction following certain types of disasters and the reconstruction is designed according to NFIP VZone standards.

No new construction or rehabilitation, except functionally dependent uses that cannot be relocated, is permitted in the floodway.

**Helpful Submittal Items:** To speed review, rehabilitation project teams can provide plans showing site topography and the necessary flood-proofing/elevation measures, and a written early warning system/evacuation plan. If the project is located in the 100-year floodplain, the project team should also provide an explanation of why location outside the floodplain is not practicable.

**WETLANDS**

**Restrictions:** No new construction in wetlands; i.e., no draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding or related grading activities in connection with the project. Rehabilitation projects cannot expand their developed footprint into wetlands. The NHTF environmental requirements define wetlands slightly differently than the US Army Corps of Engineers; isolated wetlands and some wetlands created by human activities are included.

**Helpful Submittal Items:** To document status with respect to wetlands, project teams with sites having wet areas/streams, hydric soils according to the NRCS, or vegetation typical of wetlands can provide a wetland screening report consistent with the NHTF definition of wetland and a delineation if wetlands are identified by the screening. If wetlands are present, both new construction and rehabilitation projects should provide documentation showing that the wetlands will be avoided.
EXPLOSIVE AND FLAMMABLE HAZARDS

Restrictions: Same as Part 58 (HOME): Unless buildings, people and outdoor facilities are shielded, new construction projects cannot be located closer than HUD’s Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) from an aboveground storage tank storing explosive or flammable materials. Rehabilitation projects that increase the number of habitable dwelling units at the project site are also included in this requirement.

Helpful Submittal Items: The environmental reviewer will calculate the ASDs for any aboveground storage tanks in the vicinity of the project. To speed this review, identify any aboveground storage tanks that can be seen from any part of the project site, including tanks located further away than the adjacent properties – this line of sight is one aspect by which the potential hazards of the tanks are evaluated. Also identify any industrial facilities within one mile having large aboveground tanks even when they are not visible from the project site. To speed the review, also provide the tanks’ volume and contents, and report whether the contents are stored under pressure and whether there is a dike or containment structure surrounding the tank(s). Note that integral secondary containment jackets are not relevant to this review; only containment that is open-topped has an effect on the necessary calculations.

ENDANGERED SPECIES

Restrictions: Projects cannot destroy or adversely modify designated critical habitat (all such habitat in Ohio is located along Lake Erie). Actions that could jeopardize the continued existence of Federally listed endangered or threatened species are prohibited.

Helpful Submittal Items: The environmental reviewer will perform the necessary evaluation; the project team should simply be aware that this could be an issue if the project involves modification of suitable habitat for an endangered species.

If the project site is not already entirely paved or maintained as a lawn, the project team could work with a consultant with experience in natural resources evaluation to determine whether potential endangered species habitat is present and whether an on-site survey for a given species could be required. These surveys are typically limited to certain times of the year, usually in the warmer months, and could delay a project if the survey is required and not already completed. The consultant performing the on-site survey may be required to have special licensing or certification. Note that the project’s consultant should not perform any coordination with USFWS.

WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS

Restrictions: Projects must avoid actions inconsistent with conservation easements, land-use protections and easements adjacent to wild and scenic rivers.

Helpful Submittal Items: The environmental reviewer will perform the necessary coordination. The project team should simply be aware that this could be an issue if the project site is located near a wild or scenic river.
FARMLAND PROTECTION

Restrictions: Projects may not convert unique, prime or state- or locally-significant agricultural properties to urban uses.

Helpful Submittal Items: The environmental reviewer will perform the necessary coordination. The project team should simply be aware that this could be an issue if the project site is currently farmed.

SOLE SOURCE AQUIFERS

Restrictions: Projects may not have the potential to contaminate a sole source aquifer (SSA). New construction projects located within designated SSA areas require consultation and review with USEPA. It is not expected that any housing project will be denied based on SSA requirements.

Helpful Submittal Items: The environmental reviewer will perform the necessary coordination, which may slow the review depending on the speed of USEPA’s response. The project team should be aware of this issue in planning their schedule. The SSAs in Ohio include the Allen County Area Combined Aquifer System, the Greater Miami Buried Aquifer & OKI Extension in southwestern Ohio, and the Bass Islands Aquifer on Catawba Island.

COASTAL BARRIER RESOURCES

Restrictions: Same as Part 58 (HOME): No new construction or rehabilitation in Coastal Barrier Resource System (CBRS) units.

Helpful Submittal Items: No submittal items are required; the project should simply be aware of their project site’s status with respect to CBRS units. In Ohio, CBRS units are located in the Port Clinton- Huron area and nearby islands and in the Geneva and Mentor areas, all on or near Lake Erie.

SAFE DRINKING WATER

Restrictions: Project site water supply infrastructure (supply line and interior piping) may not have lead pipes, solder or flux.

Helpful Submittal Items: The project team should provide documentation of the status of the project water supply infrastructure and, if lead materials are present, how the conditions will be corrected.