

2023 4% Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) with Bond Gap Financing (BGF) Final Results

(1) New Affordability - In a Participating Jurisdiction Pool

Scoring Position	Funding Outcome	Project Name	Link to Proposal Summary	City	Total Units	BGF Reserved	HDL Reserved	Total Points
1	Funded - Competitive	Center City Senior Apartments	Click here	Dayton	120	\$3,500,000	\$2,500,000	34
2	Funded - Competitive	West Fork	Click here	Columbus	54	\$3,500,000	\$2,500,000	32
3	Not Funded - Non-Competitive	Brentnell Pointe	Click here	Columbus	58	\$0	\$0	31
4	Not Funded - Non-Competitive	Lincoln and Gilbert Family II	Click here	Cincinnati	60	\$0	\$0	19
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Alum Creek Homes	Click here	Columbus	245	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Artem on Gay	Click here	Columbus	154	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Barthman Family Homes	Click here	Columbus	200	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Empire Senior Housing	Click here	Cleveland	60	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Peyton Crossing	Click here	Columbus	96	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Thurgood Marshall Senior Apartments	Click here	Toledo	43	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Great Southern Apartments	Click here	Columbus	44	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Hamilton Quarter Senior Housing	Click here	Columbus	46	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Innovation Square Phase 2	Click here	Cleveland	84	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Oregon Plaza (AKA Oregon Towers)	Click here	Dayton	50	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	The Reserve at Maryland	Click here	Columbus	36	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Lofts at 40 Long	Click here	Columbus	90	\$0	\$0	0
					174	\$7,000,000	\$5,000,000	

(2) New Affordability - Outside a Participating Jurisdiction Pool

Scoring Position	Funding Outcome	Project Name	Link to Proposal Summary	City	Total Units	BGF Reserved	HDL Reserved	Total Points
1	Funded - Competitive	Foster Senior Lofts	Click here	Elyria	46	\$4,500,000	\$2,000,000	28
2	Funded - Competitive	Sells Greene	Click here	Lancaster	40	\$4,500,000	\$2,500,000	22
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Emerald Place	Click here	Lancaster	216	\$0	\$0	0
					86	\$9,000,000	\$4,500,000	

(3) Preserved Affordability - In a Participating Jurisdiction Pool

Scoring Position	Funding Outcome	Project Name	Link to Proposal Summary	City	Total Units	BGF Reserved	HDL Reserved	Total Points
1	Funded - Competitive	Beechwood	Click here	Cincinnati	146	\$3,000,000	\$0	35
2	Not Funded - Non-Competitive	Palmer Gardens	Click here	Toledo	75	\$0	\$0	33
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Hilltop Senior Village I	Click here	Columbus	100	\$0	\$0	0
	Not Funded - Failed Min F&T Review	Terri Manor	Click here	Cincinnati	81	\$0	\$0	0
					146	\$3,000,000	\$0	

(4) Preserved Affordability - Outside a Participating Jurisdiction Pool

Scoring Position	Funding Outcome	Project Name	Link to Proposal Summary	City	Total Units	BGF Reserved	HDL Reserved	Total Points
1	Funded - Competitive	Terrace Gardens	Click here	Newark	99	\$2,475,000	\$2,500,000	38
2	Not Funded - Non-Competitive	Colony Woods	Click here	Lebanon	42	\$0	\$0	32
3	Not Funded - Non-Competitive	Hunters Hill	Click here	Lancaster	96	\$0	\$0	30
4	Funded - Appalachian Set Aside	PC St. Clairsville Courtyard	Click here	St. Clairsville	24	\$3,000,000	\$1,000,000	23
5	Funded - Appalachian Set Aside	PC Plumly Townhomes	Click here	Barnesville	31	\$3,000,000	\$2,000,000	20
6	Not Funded - Non-Competitive	Blue Heron Brookside Preservation	Click here	Buckeye Lake	72	\$0	\$0	17
					154	\$8,475,000	\$5,500,000	