

Oregon Senior Lofts

2017 Low Income Housing Tax Credit Proposal

Photograph or Rendering



City: Oregon County: Lucas

Project Narrative

Oregon Senior Lofts is a 57-unit senior development located in Oregon, OH. The proposed site is directly adjacent to the Mercy St. Charles Hospital campus, and is within close proximity to a wide variety of neighborhood amenities. The proposed design includes a mix of 1 and 2 bedroom units with accessible and universal design features, an energy efficient design, and a comprehensive list of modern unit amenities. Community amenities include on-site management, a community room for resident events, a computer learning center, a fitness room, a business center, and a health clinic. Site amenities include a community garden, a pocket park, a picnic shelter, and a bioswale. A variety of supportive services such as credit counseling, job training, and health and wellness promotion will also be available to every resident. These amenities will make this project very attractive to seniors. St. Mary Development Corporation has a long track record of successful ownership, development, and management of LIHTC and other affordable housing developments. Preferred Properties, Inc., a Toledo non-profit, will act as service coordinator for the project. Finally, the consultant, MV Residential Development LLC, will bring a wealth of experience and expertise to the project, having developed over 100 LIHTC projects across 15 states.

| | Project Information | | Development Team |
|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | - |
| Pool: | New Unit Production (Senior) | Developer: | St. Mary Development Corporation |
| Construction Type: | New Construction | Phone: | (937) 277-8149 |
| Population: | Senior | Street Address: | 2160 E. 5th St. |
| Building Type: | Multifamily | City, State, Zip: | Dayton, OH 45249 |
| Address: | 2809 Munding Drive | General Contractor: | MV Residential Construction, Inc. |
| City, State Zip: | Oregon, Ohio 43616 | Management Co: | MV Residential Property Management, Inc. |
| Census Tract: | 101 | Syndicator: | Ohio Equity Fund for Housing |
| | | Architect: | M+A Architects |
| | | | |

| | Ownership Information | Wage Rate Information | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--|----|--|--|--|--|
| Ownership Entity: | Oregon Senior Lofts, LLC | Are Davis-Bacon Wage rates required? | No | | | | |
| Majority Member: | Oregon Senior Lofts Manager, Inc. | regon Senior Lofts Manager, Inc. Are State Prevailing Wage rates required? | | | | | |
| Parent Organization | St. Mary Development Corporation | Are other prevailing wage rates required? | No | | | | |
| Minority Member: | 0 | If "Other", please describe: | | | | | |



Parent Organization 0

Syndicator/Investor:Ohio Equity Fund for HousingNon-Profit:St. Mary Development Corporation

| Units | Bedrooms | Bathrooms | Square Footage | Affordable to what AMGI? | Occupied by what AMGI? | Tenant-Paid Rent | | Tenant Paid Utilities | | Rental Subsidy | | Rent to Project | | Monthly Rental Income | | Maximum Gross Rent | |
|-------|----------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----|--------------------------|-----|----------------|-----|--------------------|-----|-----------------------------|--------|-----------------------|-----|
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 699 | 30% | 30% | \$ | 259 | \$ | 84 | \$ | - | \$ | 259 | \$ | 518 | \$ | 343 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 699 | 50% | 50% | \$ | 487 | \$ | 84 | \$ | - | \$ | 487 | \$ | 974 | \$ | 571 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 699 | 50% | 30% | \$ | 259 | \$ | 84 | \$ | 228 | \$ | 487 | \$ | 1,461 | \$ | 571 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 699 | 60% | 60% | \$ | 575 | \$ | 84 | \$ | - | \$ | 575 | \$ | 575 | \$ | 685 |
| 4 | 2 | 1 | 930 | 30% | 30% | \$ | 298 | \$ | 113 | \$ | - | \$ | 298 | \$ | 1,192 | \$ | 412 |
| 12 | 2 | 1 | 930 | 50% | 50% | \$ | 573 | \$ | 113 | \$ | - | \$ | 573 | \$ | 6,876 | \$ | 686 |
| 21 | 2 | 1 | 930 | 60% | 60% | \$ | 675 | \$ | 113 | \$ | - | \$ | 675 | \$ | 14,175 | \$ | 823 |
| 12 | 2 | 1.5 | 1,314 | 60% | 60% | \$ | 700 | \$ | 113 | \$ | - | \$ | 700 | \$ | 8,400 | \$ | 823 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 57 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$ | 34,171 | | |

| Financing Sources | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Construction Financing | | | | | | |
| Construction Loan: | \$ | 7,070,000 | | | | |
| Tax Credit Equity: | \$ | 1,793,705 | | | | |
| Historic tax Credits: | \$ | - | | | | |
| Deferred Developer Fee: | \$ | 124,063 | | | | |
| HDAP: | \$ | - | | | | |
| Other Sources: | \$ | 1,839,818 | | | | |
| Total Const. Financing: | \$ | 10,827,586 | | | | |
| Permanent Financing | | | | | | |
| Permanent Mortgages: | \$ | 1,135,000 | | | | |
| Tax Credit Equity: | \$ | 8,968,523 | | | | |
| Historic tax Credits: | \$ | - | | | | |
| Deferred Developer Fee: | \$ | 124,063 | | | | |
| HDAP: | \$ | 600,000 | | | | |
| Other Soft Debt: | \$ | - | | | | |
| Other Financing: | \$ | - | | | | |
| Total Perm. Financing: | \$ | 10,827,586 | | | | |

| Housing Credit Request | : | | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------|--|--|
| Net Credit Request: | | 1,026,000 | | | | |
| 10 YR Total: | 10 YR Total: | | | | | |
| Development Budget | | Total | al Per Unit: | | | |
| Acquisition: | \$ | 335,000 | \$ | 5,877 | | |
| Predevelopment: | \$ | 344,800 | \$ | 6,049 | | |
| Site Development: | \$ | 1,107,510 | \$ | 19,430 | | |
| Hard Construction: | \$ | 6,609,468 | \$ | 115,956 | | |
| Interim Costs/Finance: | \$ | 476,483 | \$ | 8,359 | | |
| Professional Fees: | \$ | 1,619,900 | \$ | 28,419 | | |
| Compliance Costs: | \$ | 152,560 | \$ | 2,676 | | |
| Reserves: | \$ | 181,865 | \$ | 3,191 | | |
| Total Project Costs: | \$ | 10,827,586 | \$ | 189,958 | | |
| Operating Expenses | | Total | ł | Per Unit | | |
| Annual Op. Expenses | \$ | 272,541 | \$ | 4,781 | | |